TABLE OF RATES AND CHARGES IN VARIOUS CONTINENTAL TOWNS.

P	Fountains	138d. for .098 ins. up to 1,449d. for	172d. to 310d. for .098 to .157 ins.	128d. to 517d. for 118 to 157 ins.			By meter.	276d. to 1,138d. for 118 to .236 ins.		4.24d. per 1,000	345d. to 1,380d. for 118 to 236 ins.		4.24d. per 1,000 gs.	By special agree- ment,	138d. to 1,138d.	Do,	207d. to 414d. for	138d. to 1,138d.	13.78d.pr1,000 gs. 276d.to 828d. for	159d.up to .118 ins.		Special agreement. 276d. to 1,138d. for	207d. to 759d.	By meter.		By meter.			Special permission-	By meter.
Price per Firecock		Gratis	1	1	1	1	Gratis	I	1, 171d.; above	1	1,34\frac{1}{3}d.; 2,11\frac{1}{3}d.; 3,5\frac{3}{4}d.	1. 69d.; 2, 17d. Where no other	Gratis	Gratis , ,	ı	1, 69d.; 2, 174d. Where no other	w. can be had.	1	36.8 <i>d</i> Gratis	1, 23d.; above		Specialagreement. Gratis	1, 69d.; from 2 to 6, 174d.; above	that, 5\frac{3}{4}d.	1	1, 103d.; 2 etc.,	-	1, 69d.; from 2 to 6, 174d.; above	that, 54a. 11.5d. each cock.	1
Hot- houses per square yard		4.94.	1.94.	2·3d.	1	1	1	2.9d.	2.9d.	2.9d.	2.94.	2.9 <i>d</i> .	18.44.	1	2.9d.	2.9d.	3.44.	2.9d.	15·1 <i>d</i> . 2·9 <i>d</i> .	5.1d.	1	2.94.	2.9d.	1	1	3.44.	1	2.9d.	1	1
	Gardens per Ar.		9.54.	43.74.	1	16d.	1	4044164.	11\frac{1}{2}d8d.	283d.	10.44.	$40\frac{1}{4}d$, $-20d$.	23d.	66.7419.64.	40.24,-164.	44.3d,-20.6d.	19.64.	40.2416d.	76·7d. 27·6d.	164124.	1,	21.84.	16d.	84.	1.	1,165d.	1	17.2413.84.	1	66.7441.44.
r Ar.	Not payed	1	1	1	1	1	1	$28\frac{3}{4}d$.	273d.	1	69 <i>d</i> .	1	13.84.	1	57 ½ d.	57 gd.	1	57 gd.	-!!	115d.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Streets per Ar.	Paved	1	1	1	1	1	1	23d.	46d.	1	55.2d.	57½d.	9.54.	1	46d.	46d.	T	464.	11	86d.	1	1	344d. per 10 yard.	1	1	1	1	343d513d.	Ī	1
Per Cab or	Carriage	694.	25.84.	1934.	1	1	25·8d.	3414.	34 \}d.	312d.	34134.	343d.	36.84.	stable 1d.	34½d.	3434.	1	341d.	36·8d. 34½d.	384.	27.64.	34½d. 34½d.	34 \$ d.	1	1	3434694.	1	1	1	1
Per head	Min. Size of Per Wash- house or Room Room Per Urina- Closet Per Horse Of Horned Cattle Squares		25·8d.	1934.	1	23d.	25·8d.	3434.	3413.	3434.	3434.	3414.	36.84.	yard of	34½d.	3414.	23d.	34½d.	36·8 <i>d</i> . 34½ <i>d</i> .	38d.	1	3440.	34\ddag{d}.	1934.	1	313d.	1	1	1	1
			25·8d.	.91d341d.	1	23d.	25.8d.	3414.	34½d.	3434.	341d.	3434.	36.84.	Per square	34½d.	343d.	234.	3434.	36.8d.	38d.	27.6d.	34½d. 34½d.	34½d.	1944.	1	3414.	1	57 ½ d.	11 3d.	1
The second second			25.8d.	58.64. 1	1	113d.	25·8d.	1	25.84.	Gratis.	46d.	$51\frac{3}{4}d$.	1	34½d.	34½d.	23d.	3434.	1	36.8d. 21d276d.	28\frac{3}{4}d.	21d28d.	341d. 513d.	$28\frac{3}{4}d$.	1914.	1	69d138d.	1	1	11 ½ d.	1
			1	39d138d	1	1144.	17 ¼ d.	3434.	$17\frac{1}{4}d$.	138d828d.	46d.	28\frac{3}{4}d.	46d.	34½d.	34½d.	23d.	343d.	3414.	by Meter.	20d.	1	3441384.	34d138d.	ŀ	1	1	1	1	1	1
:			25.8d.	58.6d.	25.8d.	34·5d.	25.8d.	1	25·8d.	23d.	46d.	$51\frac{3}{4}d$.	46d.	.p69	694.	1	103d207d.	1	36·8d. 20·7d.	$28\frac{3}{4}d$.	21d28d.	34½d. 20·7d.	$28\frac{3}{4}d$.	19·6d.	1	69-103d.	1	1	11 3 4.	1
Per Wash.			23d.	9841964.	1	1	25.8d.	1	25·8d.	23d.	1	28\did d.	46d.	1	1,	23d.	1	1	36·8 <i>d</i> . 20·7 <i>d</i> .	$28\frac{3}{4}d$.	1	34 ½ d. 20·7 d.	283d.	1	18-94.	1	1	1	1	924.
Min. size of			1	1	1	1	11	- 1	93	12	1	1	1	- 1	1	12	1	1	1000	93	1	14	1	93	- 1	1	1	1	1-	1
	Unlimited Supply for Dwellings per annum (i.r., inhabited room). Price in pence		174d. per i.r.	21% of rent; reduction in case of	high rent. 253d. per dwelling, if with pipes;	otherwise \(\frac{3}{2}\) to \(\frac{1}{2}\) of this. 1 i.r. with kitchen \(41\frac{1}{2}d.\); each	additional i.r. 341d. up to 207d. 254d. per i.r.	100% of building tax.	25%, perir.; 23d. ifforthe whole house; 174d, perir. if there is	a draw-off cock in the yard.	103d. min. for house; 34\(\frac{1}{2}\)d. per dwelling; tax 3\(\phi\) of rent, cock	in yard 2%. $28\frac{3}{4}d$. up to 10 i.r.; above that $17\frac{1}{4}d$. per i.r.	364d. peri.r. and workshop; 184d.	min. per flat. 1d. per sq. yard of ground occupied and per storey (loft and	cellars excepted). According to choice, either same as Köln, or 100% of building tax.	23d. per i.r. or 345d. per family, or 1d. per square yard.	69d. for family with 3 i.r.; 174d.	10 gs. per day per head, or 3,303 gs. gratis per 35% builds. tax.	363d. per i.r. 203d. per ir. 14d. for watering-	28	14d. per ir. up to 2,070d. rent; 20\frac{3}{4}d. up to 2,760d.; above this	8 61			rooms 10d. or 13½d. 18d. min. per room up to 40 sq. yards, larger ones double.			4% of rent, for whole estates 0.4% discount.	Up to 3,450d. rent 34\frac{1}{2}d.; above this 69d.	45d. per i.r.
Min. No. of	Gallons to be paid for per diem	550	1,321	099	528	099	178		330	099	099	099	099	880	330	099	1	330	594	330	1	909	099	572	1	330	99	484		
Meter Supplies	Cost per 1,000 Gallons. The Quantities I given are Gallons delivered per diem	Up to 3,303 g. 3-18d., up to 5,505	2.23d.	3.34d. for trade purposes, other-	wise 3.97d.	3.394.	3.39d.	Up to 3:1 g. 2 * 3 * 30. d.; up to 5.53 * 3.84 d.; up to 3.787 g. 3 * 30.2d.; and up to 9.469 g. 2 * 656. Up to 8.303 g. 3 * 97d.; up to	6,606 g. 574a.; up to 55,051 g. 3·07a.; by contract 7·04a. Up to 6,606 g. 4·24a.; above this 3·39a.	Up to 1,982 g. 4.24d.; above this	by special agreement. Up to 6,606 g. 4.24d.; up to 66,063 g. 3.39d.; above that	2:54d.; by contract 5:08d. Up to 11,010 g. 4:24d.; above that 3:44d.	4.24d.	Up to 6,606 g. 4.39d.; up to 66.063 g. 3.49d.	Up to 3,303 g. 4.39d.; up to 6,606 g. 4.24d.; up to 33,031 g. 3.82d.; up to 66,063 g.	3.39 <i>d</i> .; by contract 6.78 <i>d</i> . Up to 2,202 g. 4.39; up to 4,404 g. 3.97 <i>d</i> .; up to 6,606 g. 3.49 <i>d</i> .;	above 3.392.		3.71a.; above by contract 6.78a. 4.77a. Up to 1,321 g. 4.77d.; above this	Up to 6,606 g. 5.08d.; above	5.3d	5.83d. Up to 5,064 g. 5.83d.; above this		Filtered river w. 6.99d.; lake w. 3.49d.; drinking w. 9.33d.	5			Up to 484 g. 15.9d.; above this 3.97d.		
	Name of the Town	1. Frankfurt	9 Rostock				an · · · ne	th	9. Essen	10. Danzig .	chweig	12. Düsseldorf ,	13. Winterthur .	14. Köln	15. Bochum.	16. Dortmund	17. Altenburg .		19 Bern 20. Kiel	21. Steele	22. Hamburg	23. Pesth 24. Leipzig	25. Posen .	26. Stuttgart	27. Zürich	28. Altona	29. Wiesbaden	30. Berlin	Zittau .	32. Vevey

NOTE.-The expression " By contract " signifies, in case a certain quantity of water has been contracted for at a certain price at certain periods,

In the selection of a source for a water supply it is well, if possible, to avoid mill-streams, as the compensation to be paid to mill-owners may involve a large expenditure, particularly where a mill-owner has power to impound the water. If the water of the stream is taken, a quantity equal to that used by the mill-owner must be returned to it, or compensation be made, either in money, for the substitution of steam machinery, or by water. Where, however, the water can be intercepted at the stream-head before it issues to the surface and flows in a visible and determined channel, no compensation can be claimed by mill-owners on the stream below, for it is not capable of actual proof that any water so intercepted would have flowed into the stream in question. This view is supported by the decision of Judges Martin, Crompton, Bramwell, and Watson, delivered to the House of Lords in the case of Chasemore v. Richards.

There is considerable difference of opinion as to whether waterworks should be in the hands of the public authorities or of private companies. In favour of the former it may be said that there are certain facilities in the execution of the works that cannot be obtained, or not obtained so readily, by private companies. Also, as a public authority is not supposed to make a profit out of the ratepayers for the use of the water, it may be presumed that the rates would be lower than would be the case with a private company, who naturally make the payment of a good dividend a matter of greater importance than keeping down their water-rates to the lowest possible point. The presumption that the water-rates of public authorities should be lower than those of private companies is not, however, by any means universally borne out in practice, as there are numerous instances in which public authorities charge a higher rate than private companies. For instance, it was given in evidence on the Sheffield Water (Bradfield) Bill, 1864, that although the cost of the Manchester Waterworks (Corporation) was about the same per head of the population as that of the Sheffield works (private company), yet the water-rates charged in the former town were higher than in the latter. It was also stated that the rates charged by the Corporation of Glasgow were higher than those of Sheffield. It often happens that the direct charges for water by a corporation are less than would be charged by a private company, but frequently there are indirect charges arising from part of the houses being assessed under a general rate, and also from the interest on the money borrowed for the construction of the works being paid out of the general district rate or out of some other fund, that raise considerably the actual price paid for water, and therefore the published rates are no indication of the real charges that fall upon the inhabitants.

Mr. Hawksley, in his evidence before the Royal Commission on Water Supply, gave it as his opinion that waterworks were as a rule better managed by private companies than by public bodies. Public bodies (with some exceptions) are not so successful in checking waste; and the tendency among the ratepayers is to screw down the expenses as low as possible, and to avoid, if they can do so, any extension of their works. In consequence of this their efficiency is often seriously reduced. On the other hand, private companies find it best to extend their works as much as is prudent, and to maintain the same as efficiently as possible. To this they are constantly urged by the public, who are perfectly indifferent about the expenditure, in which they are not at all concerned. Taking all things into consideration, it seems to us impossible to lay down any hard-and-fast rule respecting the proprietorship of waterworks. Each town should be considered separately, and with reference to its character, whether it is a compactly-built manufacturing place or an open-built pleasure or semi-agricultural town. For instance, in a closely packed manufacturing or business town, containing large numbers of the working class, and where the wells and private sources of supply are contaminated so as to be unfit for use, public management of waterworks, if conducted in a disinterested and efficient manner, would most likely prove more satisfactory than that by a private company. And it may be here mentioned in support of corporate control that some large towns e.g., as Liverpool, Dublin-whose supplies were originally under municipal government, but afterwards became private companies, finding their first system of administration to be better, have reverted to it. On the other hand, taking a town not engaged in any particular manufacture, but with a great length of street in proportion to the population, and containing a large number of semi-detached or villa residences, many probably supplied from private wells, public management is open to several objections. As all would have to pay the public water-rate, so all would be entitled to a supply from the waterworks. To give this supply would require a great length of distribution main, thus adding seriously to the first cost. Then, again, public bodies have the power of raising compulsory rates; and, as often the interest of the money borrowed for the construction of the works is paid out of the general district rate, to which each ratepayer contributes, those who have a private supply are compelled to pay for benefits they do not receive. A private company has no power to make compulsory rates, and if any person requiring water within the limits of their special Act guarantee to take the supply for three successive years, and the aggregate annual amount of water-rate chargeable by the company be not less than onetenth of the expense of providing and laying down the pipes, the company are obliged to supply the water. So that with a private company the objections just referred to in relation to public management are avoided.

Private water companies are, as a rule, profitable investments. It is an established fact that where waterworks have cost £3 per head of the population for a pumping scheme, and £4 per head for a gravitation scheme, they have paid dividends of £5 per cent. and upwards. The following shows the dividends paid by the Neath